IN THE UNITED STATES DIST FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT WESTERN DIVISIO	TOF ALABAMA ON 02 FER 33 4/11: 1/2	
KENNETH MEEKS,	N.P. OF ALABAMA	
Plaintiff, vs. BAYER CORPORATION, LUCINDA MAXWELL,	) ) Civil Action Number	W
WAL-MART STORES, INC., WAL-MART STORES EAST, INC.,; and WAL-MART STORES EAST, LP,	S ) 02-C-0569-W	_ TERED
Defendants.	) APR	3 0 2002

## MEMORANDUM OPINION ON REMAND

In this case removed to this Court on grounds of diversity, to prove fraudulent joinder

Defendants must carry the heavy burden of showing that there is no possibility that Plaintiff can

prove a cause of action against the non-diverse Defendant Lucinda Maxwell.

Maxwell is the manager of the Defendants' Wal Mart store from which Plaintiff
purchased the allegedly defective product. Plaintiff alleges that she is acquainted with Maxwell
in their community; that Maxwell selected and recommended the product; that she relied on
Maxwell's selection and recommendation because of Maxwell's superior knowledge and
expertise; and that in reliance on Maxwell's recommendation, she purchased the defective
product. Maxwell denies that she made any selection or recommendation to Plaintiff concerning
the product; but for purposes of the fraudulent joinder issue, the Court will credit Plaintiff's
version of the facts as incorporated in her affidavit.

On consideration of Plaintiff's affidavit in light of the allegations of the complaint, it cannot be reasonably concluded that under the laws of Alabama, there is no possibility that

## Case 7:02-cv-00569-UWC Document 13 Filed 04/30/02 Page 2 of 2

Plaintiff can prove a fraud/misrepresentation cause of action against Maxwell.

Defendant has thus failed to prove fraudulent joinder. Therefore, the Motion to Remand is due to be granted. By separate order, it will be done.

Done this \_\_\_\_\_ day of April, 2002.

Chief United States District Judge U.W. Clemon